## COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAM SUPPORT BUREAU – MHSA IMPLEMENTATION AND OUTCOMES DIVISION





WELLNESS • RECOVERY • RESILIENCE

# Prevention & Early Intervention: Seeking Safety Countywide Aggregate Practice Outcomes Dashboard Report

#### Outcome Data Submission through September 19, 2012

### **Participating Legal Entities Include:**

Alma Family Services
American Indian Counseling Center
Asian American Drug Abuse
Assoc League of Mex-American(ALMA)
Aviva Center CMH
BHC Child Int Community Services
Central Valley Youth & Family Center
Chartwrap Child Int Community Srvcs.

Child and Family Guidance Center Children's Hospital Los Angeles

Counseling 4 Kids

Child and Family Center

D'Veal Family & Youth Services

Didi Hirsch

El Centro de Amistad El Centro de Pueblo

**ENKI** 

Ettie Lee Homes

Exceptional Children's Foundation

Five Acres

Florence Crittenton Child & Family

Foothill Family Services Hathaway Sycamores Hillsides Family Center Hillview MHC

Jewish Family Services

Juv. Justice Transition Aftercare Svcs

LA Child Guidance Clinic

Masada Homes

Mental Health America

Northeast Mental Health Center

**Optimist Youth Homes** 

Pacific Asian Counseling Services
Pacific Asian Counseling SVS SFV
Pacific Clinics Family Services
Pacific Lodge Youth Services
Pasadena Unified School District

Penny Lane

Rosemary Children's Services San Fernando Valley CMHC San Gabriel Children's Center

Shields for Families

SSG/OTTP

Tarzana Treatment Center

Tessie Cleveland
The Guidance Center
The Help Group
Tobinworld

Trinity

Valley Child Guidance Clinic

Vista Del Mar

LA County Dept. of Mental Health:

- Arcadia Mental Health Services
- · Coastal API Family MHC
- Downtown MHS
- Hollywood MHS
- Long Beach API Family MHC
- Long Beach Child & Adolescent
- Long Beach MHS Adult
- Rio Hondo Community MHS
- South Bay MHS

Version: 10/10/12

Table 1. Seeking	Table 1. Seeking Safety Status since inception to September 19, 2012							
# of Clients Claimed to Practice	# of Clients entered into PEI OMA	# of Tx cycles in PEI OMA	Clients with Multiple Tx Cycles	Clients Completing Tx	Clients Dropping-Out of Tx			
n=8,347	32.05% n=2,675	n=2,741	2.43% (n=65)	11.96% (n=320)	17.35% (n=464)			

Note 1: Clients Claimed is reported based on Seeking Safety being selected as the EBP in the PEI Plan and client has  $\geq 1$  core services claimed to the practice.

Note 2: Completion and Drop-out are reported based on responses indicated of "yes" or "no" in the PEI OMA for EBP completed.

Table 2. (	Table 2. Client Demographics – Clients Who Entered Seeking Safety										
	Age	Age Gender			Ethnicity				Primary Language		
Total Clients	Average	Female	Male	African- American	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Caucasian	Hispanic/ Latino	Other	English	Spanish	Other
n=2,675	21	46.09% (n=1,233)	53.87% (n=1,441)			12.49% (n=334)	58.02% (n=1,552)	5.23% (n=140)	78.50% (n=2,100)	18.28% (n=489)	3.21% (n=86)

Note1: Age is calculated at the date of the first EBP. Note2: Percentages may not total 100 due to missing data.

	Table 3. Top 5 most frequently reported DSM-IV Primary Axis I Diagnoses – Clients Who Entered Seeking Safety							
Total Clients	Depressive Disorder NOS	Oppositional Defiant Disorder	Mood Disorder NOS	Dysthymic Disorder	Disruptive Behavior Disorder NOS	Other Diagnosis		
n=2,675	10.43% (n=279)	9.31% (n=249)	7.07% (n=189)	7.07% (n=189)	6.99% (n=187)	59.14% (n=1,582)		

Table 4. Seeking Safety	Program Process Data	- Clients Who Entere	d Seeking Safety
Outcome measures administered	Pre-test with scores	Post-test with scores	Clients who completed both a Pre and Post measure with scores
UCLA PTSD-RI - Parent	35.94%	15.36%	2.89%
	(n=647)	(n=84)	(n=52)
	Ackn=1,800	Ackn=547	Ackn=1,800
UCLA PTSD-RI – Child/Adolescent	61.89% (n=1,226) Ackn=1,981	28.89% (n=171) Ackn=592	6.16% (n=122) Ackn=1,981
UCLA PTSD-RI - Adult	75.37%	51.28%	4.15%
	(n=309)	(n=20)	(n=17)
	Ackn=410	Ackn=39	Ackn=410
Youth Outcome	48.36%	21.12%	4.17%
Questionnaire - 2.01	(n=870)	(n=109)	(n=75)
(Parent)	Ackn=1,799	Ackn=516	Ackn=1,799
Youth Outcome	73.48%	38.23%	8.22%
Questionnaire – Self	(n=1,466)	(n=216)	(n=164)
Report – 2.0	Ackn=1,995	Ackn=565	Ackn=1995
Outcome Questionnaire – 45.2	77.88% (n=412) Ackn=529	45.76% (n=27) Ackn=59	4.54% (n=24) Ackn=529

Note 1: The % indicated for Pre-test with scores, Post-test with scores, and both a Pre and Post measure with scores is calculated by dividing the n=# w/ scores by the number acknowledge (Ackn=) in the PEI OMA system for each measure.

-3-

Note 2: Number of acknowledged measures (Ackn=) is determined by the number of required measures that receive a score or an unable to collect reason code.

ant	PRE	Parent/care provider unavailable	Administration date exceeds acceptable range	Clinician not trained in outcome measure	Outcome measure unavailable	Parent/care provider refused	Other reasons
-RI - Parent	(n=1154)	43.93% (n=507)	16.46% (n=190)	15.51% (n=179)	11.27% (n=130)	5.03% (n=58)	7.80% (n=90)
PTSD.	POST	Parent/care provider	Premature	Invalid outcome	Administration date exceeds	Lost contact with parent/care	Other
Š	POST	unavailable	termination	measure	acceptable range	parenticare provider	reasons

Table	Table 5b. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect"								
lescent	PRE	Administration date exceeds acceptable range	Clinician not trained in outcome measure	Outcome measure unavailable	Client refused	Client unavailable	Other reasons		
Child/Adolescent	(n=755)	30.07% (n=227)	25.43% (n=192)	16.29% (n=123)	12.45% (n=94)	7.15% (n=54)	8.61% (n=65)		
PTSD-RI -	POST	Premature termination	Invalid outcome measure	Client unavailable	Client refused	Lost contact with client	Other reasons		
UCLA PT	(n=421)	34.20% (n=144)	19% (n=80)	15.20% (n=64)	9.03% (n=38)	8.08% (n=34)	14.49% (n=61)		

Adult	PRE	Not available in primary language	Clinician not trained in outcome measure	Client refused	Outcome measure unavailable	Client unavailable	Other reasons
A PTSD-RI - Ad	(n=101)	29.70% (n=30)	23.76% (n=24)	18.81% (n=19)	11.88% (n=12)	5.94% (n=6)	9.90% (n=10)
	POST	Premature termination	Lost contact with client	Client unavailable	Clinician not trained in outcome measure	Client refused	Other reasons
I D D	(n=19)	36.84% (n=7)	21.05% (n=4)	15.79% (n=3)	15.79% (n=3)	10.53% (n=2)	0% (n=0)

Table	Table 5d. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect"								
re - 2.01	<b>PRE</b> (n=929)	Parent/care provider unavailable	Administration date exceeds acceptable range	Clinician not trained in outcome measure	Outcome measure unavailable	Parent/care provider refused	Other reasons		
Questionnaire		51.35% (n=477)	19.70% (n=183)	11.41% (n=106)	7.00% (n=65)	4.95% (n=46)	5.60% (n=52)		
_		Parent/care provider unavailable	Premature termination	Invalid outcome measure	Administration date exceeds acceptable range	Lost contact with parent/care provider	Other reasons		
Youth Outcome	POST (n=407)	40.54% (n=165)	29.24% (n=119)	11.55% (n=47)	5.65% (n=23)	4.67% (n=19)	8.35% (n=34)		

naire –	DDE	Administration date exceeds acceptable range	Clinician not trained in outcome measure	Client refused	Outcome measure unavailable	Client unavailable	Other reasons
% I	(n=529)	41.21% (n=218)	22.12% (n=117)	12.85% (n=68)	11.15% (n=59)	7.18% (n=38)	5.48% (n=29)
utcome Self Rep	POST	Premature termination	Client unavailable	Invalid outcome measure	Lost contact with client	Administration date exceeds acceptable range	Other reasons
Youth O	(n=349)	40.11% (n=140)	16.62% (n=58)	14.61% (n=51)	8.31% (n=29)	7.45% (n=26)	12.89% (n=45)

Table	Table 5f. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect"								
- 45.2	<b>PRE</b> (n=117)	Not available in primary language	Outcome measure unavailable	Client refused	Client unavailable	Clinician not trained in outcome measure	Other reasons		
Questionnaire		30.77% (n=36)	20.51% (n=24)	16.24% (n=19)	8.55% (n=10)	7.69% (n=9)	16.24% (n=09)		
_	POST	Premature termination	Client unavailable	Lost contact with client	Invalid outcome measure	Client refused	Other reasons		
Outcome	(n=32)	40.63% (n=13)	21.88% (n=7)	12.50% (n=4)	9.38% (n=3)	6.25% (n=2)	9.38% (n=3)		

Table 6. Service Delivery Data – Clients Who Completed Seeking Safety					
Total Clients	Average Length of Treatment	Average Number of Sessions			
(n=318)	32 weeks Range: 2-94 weeks (n=318)	41 sessions Range: 1-334 sessions (n=318)			

Note: Completed Seeking Safety is defined as having a 'yes' for completion indicated in the PEI OMA.

Table 7. Client Outcome Data* – Clien	its who Completed	Seeking Safety		
All Clients (n=318)				
	Percent of Clients Showing Reliable Change <sup>±</sup> from Pre- Seeking Safety to Post-Seeking Safety			
	Negative Change	No Change	Positive Change	
UCLA PTSD-RI - Parent	1.92%	80.77%	17.31%	
	(n=1)	(n=42)	(n=9)	
UCLA PTSD-RI - Child/Adolescent	0.82%	90.16%	9.02%	
	(n=1)	(n=110)	(n=11)	
*UCLA PTSD-RI - Adult	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	NA	NA	NA	
Youth Outcome Questionnaire - 2.01 (Parent)	16%	38.67%	45.33%	
	(n=12)	(n=29)	(n=34)	
Youth Outcome Questionnaire –	14.63%	42.07%	43.29%	
Self Report – 2.0	(n=24)	(n=69)	(n=71)	
Outcome Questionnaire-45.2	0%	70.83%	29.17%	
	(n=0)	(n=17)	(n=7)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>±</sup>Please see Appendix A. for a description of the Seeking Safety outcome measures and the outcome indicators (percent improvement in average scores; and, percent of clients showing reliable change).

Note4: Positive Change indicates that the scores decreased from the pre to the post measures.

Note1: Possible PTSD-RI range from 0-68, with a clinical cutpoint of 38.

Note2: Possible YOQ Total Scores range from -16-240, with a clinical cutpoint of 46.

Note3: Possible YOQ-SR Total Scores range from -16-240, with a clinical cutpoint of 47.

Note4: Possible OQ Total Scores range from 0-180, with a clinical cutpoint of 63.

<sup>\*</sup>Aggregate outcome data based on fewer than 20 clients are not reported. Therefore data for the PTSD-RI Adult was not shown.

#### **Appendix**

#### Youth Outcomes Questionnaires (YOQ and YOQ-SR)

The Youth Outcome Questionnaire is a 64-item parent-report that assesses global distress in a child's/adolescent's life from 4-17 years of age. The YOQ-SR is the Self-report version of the YOQ and is completed by the child/adolescent. Total scores on both measures can range from -16 to 240. Total scores of 46 or higher are most similar to a clinical population on the YOQ. A total score of 47 is most similar to that of a clinical population on the YOQ-SR.

#### Outcomes Questionnaires (OQ)

The Outcome Questionnaire is a 45-item self-report that assesses global distress in a client's life from ages 19 and older. Total Scores on this measure can range from 0 to 180, with scores of 63 or higher indicating clinical significance.

#### Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder Reaction Index (PTSD-RI)

The UCLA Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder Reaction Index (PTSD-RI) is a 20-items measure that assess the frequency of occurrence of post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms during the prior month according to child/youth/adults self-reports and the reports of their parents/caregivers (for children ages 3-18).

Possible Total PTSD Severity Scores range from 0-68; and scores of 38 or higher have the greatest sensitivity and specificity for detecting PTSD.

#### Reliable Change Index (RCI)

When comparing Pre and Post scores, it is very helpful to know whether the change reported represents the real effects of the treatment or errors in the system of measurement. The Reliability of Change Index (RCI) is a statistical way of helping to insure that the change recorded between pre and post assessments exceeds that which would be expected on the basis of measurement error alone. The RCI has been calculated using the Jacobson and Truax (1991) method and indicates when change exceeds that which would be expected on the basis of error at the p<.05 probability level. For a more in-depth discussion of Reliability of Change see Jacobson, N. S., & Truax. P. (1991). Clinical Significance: A statistical approach to defining meaningful change in psychotherapy research. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 59, 12-19. Also see Wise, E. A. (2004). Methods for analyzing psychotherapy outcomes: A review of clinical significance, reliable change, and recommendations for future directions. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 82(1), 50-59.

The number and percent of clients experiencing positive change, no change and negative change are recorded in table 6. Healthful change in each of the measures cited here means that scores have <u>decreased</u> in value from pre to post test administrations (i.e. recorded a negative change on the RCI). To help avoid confusion, healthful reliable change is presented as positive while unhealthful reliable change is presented as negative change.